

Robert T. Cruzen (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
[rob.cruzen@klarquist.com](mailto:rob.cruzen@klarquist.com)

Stephanie S. Irvine (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
[stephanie.irvine@klarquist.com](mailto:stephanie.irvine@klarquist.com)

Jeffrey S. Love (State Bar No. 195068)  
[jeffrey.love@klarquist.com](mailto:jeffrey.love@klarquist.com)

Garth A. Winn (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
[garth.winn@klarquist.com](mailto:garth.winn@klarquist.com)

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP  
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600  
Portland, OR 97204-2988  
Telephone: (503) 595-5300  
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

Eric L. Wesenberg (State Bar No. 139696)  
[ewesenberg@orrick.com](mailto:ewesenberg@orrick.com)

Gabriel M. Ramsey (State Bar No. 209218)  
[gramsey@orrick.com](mailto:gramsey@orrick.com)

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015  
Telephone: (650) 614-7400  
Facsimile: (650) 614-7401

**\*E-FILED - 4/30/09\***

*Attorneys for Defendants*

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES  
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and  
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and  
IGT,

Defendants.

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)

**STIPULATION AND  
[] ORDER VACATING  
DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE  
OF DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS**

STIPULATION AND ORDER VACATING  
DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF  
DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)

1 Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Limited and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc.,  
 2 and Defendants International Game Technology and IGT, present this Stipulation And [Proposed]  
 3 Order Vacating Dismissal Without Prejudice Of Defendants' Counterclaims. On September 11,  
 4 2007, the Court entered a final judgment of invalidity with regard to the asserted patents in this case.  
 5 Dkt #372. As a result of that ruling, and with Defendants' consent, the Court also dismissed without  
 6 prejudice Defendants' remaining counterclaims. *Id.* On appeal, the judgment of invalidity was  
 7 reversed. Now that the case has been remanded, Defendants intend to assert the previously  
 8 dismissed counterclaims and desire that they be reinstated.

9 Therefore, the parties stipulate to the vacation of, and request that the Court vacate, the  
 10 dismissal without prejudice of Defendants' counterclaims so that those counterclaims are reinstated.  
 11

12 Dated: April 20, 2009

By: s/ Robert T. Cruzen

Robert T. Cruzen (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

[rob.cruzen@klarquist.com](mailto:rob.cruzen@klarquist.com)

Stephanie S. Irvine (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

[stephanie.irvine@klarquist.com](mailto:stephanie.irvine@klarquist.com)

Jeffrey S. Love (State Bar No. 195068)

[jeffrey.love@klarquist.com](mailto:jeffrey.love@klarquist.com)

Garth A. Winn (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

[garth.winn@klarquist.com](mailto:garth.winn@klarquist.com)

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600

Portland, OR 97204-2988

Telephone: (503) 595-5300

Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

Eric L. Wesenberg (State Bar No. 139696)

[ewesenberg@orrick.com](mailto:ewesenberg@orrick.com)

Gabriel M. Ramsey (State Bar No. 209218)

[gramsey@orrick.com](mailto:gramsey@orrick.com)

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

1000 Marsh Road

Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015

Telephone: (650) 614-7400

Facsimile: (650) 614-7401

*Attorneys for Defendants*

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT

27 STIPULATION AND ORDER VACATING - 1 -  
 28 DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF  
 DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)

1  
2 Dated: April 20, 2009

By: s/ Robert J. Blanch

3 TERRENCE P. MCMAHON (State Bar No. 71910)

4 [tcmahon@mwe.com](mailto:tcmahon@mwe.com)

5 ANTHONY de ALCUAZ (State Bar No. 65599)

6 [adealcuaz@mwe.com](mailto:adealcuaz@mwe.com)

7 ROBERT J. BLANCH (State Bar No. 189632)

8 [rblanch@mwe.com](mailto:rblanch@mwe.com)

9 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

10 3150 Porter Drive

11 Palo Alto, CA 94304

12 Telephone: (650) 813-5000

13 Facsimile: (650) 813-5100

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

15 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY  
16 LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

17 Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that  
18 concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

19 Dated: April 20, 2009

By: s/ Robert T. Cruzen

20  
21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated this 30 day of April, 2009.

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 

The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
United States District Court Judge

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 20, 2009, the foregoing STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER VACATING DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF IGT'S COUNTERCLAIMS was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court and served on all counsel of record using the CM/ECF System.

s/ Robert T. Cruzen